

- Nagy, Gregory, On the Origins of the Greek Hexameter: Synchronic and Diachronic Perspectives. *Studies in diachronic, synchronic, and typological Linguistics, Festschrift for Oswald Szemerényi, Amsterdam 1979*, 611–631.
- Vigorita, John F., The Indo-European Origins of the Greek Hexameter and Distich. *Zeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung* 91, 1977, 288–299.
- Wathelet, Paul, La coupe syllabique et les liquides voyelles dans la tradition formulaire de l'épopée grecque. *Linguistic Research in Belgium*, ed. Yvan Lebrun, Wetteren 1966, 145–173.
- Witte, Kurt, *Homerische Sprach- und Versgeschichte. Die Entstehung der ionischen Langzeile. Glotta* 4, 1913, 1–21.

άλιτήμων: *Iliad* 24.157 (= 186)

By JOHN J. KEANEY, Princeton University

Zeus gives directions to Iris to be transmitted to Priam about the ransoming of Hector's corpse, and ends his speech with a statement about Achilles:

24.157 οὔτε γάρ ἐστ' ἄφρων οὔτ' ἄσκοπος οὔτ' ἀλιτήμων,
ἀλλὰ μάλ' ἐνδυκέως ἰκέτεω περιδήσεται ἀνδρός.

It is agreed¹⁾ that *άλιτήμων* is connected with *άλιταίνω*, but I propose to revive an ancient²⁾ alternative and suggest that Homer himself intended the word to be privative of the root *λιτ-*, "supplication". The argument has two bases, analogical and thematic. For the first, it can be stated that, when a verse of the *Iliad* contains one alpha-privative adjective and another adjective with the same referent beginning with alpha, the second (and, as here, third) will also be privative³⁾. A list from the *Iliad* follows⁴⁾:

¹⁾ Frisk, *GrEW* 67; Meiwes, *LfgrE* 491; Risch, *Wortbildung der hom. Sprache*, 21972, 52 § 22αβ.

²⁾ Eustathius, *ad loc.* (= 1343.35ff.): *τινὲς δὲ τὸ ἀλιτήμων ἀντὶ τοῦ ἀλιτάνευτος· διὸ καὶ ἐπάγει "ἀλλὰ μάλ'" κτλ.*

³⁾ The single exception to this statement is 18.370, where Hephaestus' house is called *ἄφθιτον ἀστερόεντα*. I assume that in 13.41: *ἄβρομοι αὐίαχοι*, but it is not clear that the poet so understood them. The same phenomenon obtains in the *Odyssey* (exception, 1.232: *ἀφνειὸς καὶ ἀμύμων*), Hesiod (exception, *Th* 878: *ἀπέριτον ἀνθεμόεσσαν*), and the Homeric Hymns.

⁴⁾ Prefixed to each passage is N = narrative or S = speech: no examples occur in similes. Adjectives *ἅπαξ εἰρημένα* in the *Iliad* (including those repeated in these examples) are signaled by *.

- S 1.99 ἀπριάτην* ἀνάποιον*, ἄγειν θ' ἱερὴν ἑκατόμβην
 S 1.415 αἰθ' ὄφελος παρὰ νηυσὶν ἀδάκρυτος* καὶ ἀπήμων
 S 2.201 οἱ σέο φέρετροί εἰσι, σὺ δ' ἀπτόλεμος καὶ ἀναλκις
 S 3.40 αἰθ' ὄφελος ἄγονός* τ' ἔμεναι ἄγαμός* τ' ἀπολέσθαι
 N 4.540 ὅς τις ἔτ' ἄβλητος* καὶ ἀνούτατος* ὀξεί χαλκῶ
 N 5.342 τοῦνεκ' ἀναίμονές* εἰσι καὶ ἀθάνατοι καλέονται
 S 6.60 Ἰλίου ἐξαπολοῖατ' ἀκήδεστοι καὶ ἄφαντοι*
 S 7.100 ἦμενοι αὐθι ἕκαστοι ἀκήριοι, ἀκλεῆς αὐτως
 S 7.117 εἶ περ ἀδειής* τ' ἐστὶ καὶ εἰ μόθου ἔστ' ἀκόρητος
 S 8.539 εἶην ἀθάνατος καὶ ἀγήρως ἦματα πάντα
 N 2.447 ἀγήρων ἀθανάτην τε
 S 12.323 ἀγήρω τ' ἀθανάτω τε
 S 17.444 ἀγήρω τ' ἀθανάτω τε
 S 9.35 φὰς ἔμεν ἀπτόλεμον* καὶ ἀνάλκιδα· ταῦτα δὲ πάντα
 S 9.41 ἀπτολέμους* τ' ἔμεναι καὶ ἀνάλκιδας, ὡς ἀγορεύεις
 S 9.63 ἀφρήτωρ* ἀθέμιστος* ἀνέστιός* ἐστὶν ἐκεῖνος⁵⁾
 S 9.125 οὐ κεν ἀλήιος* εἶη ἀνήρ φ' τόσσα γένοιτο,
 οὐδέ κεν ἀκτῆμων* ἐριτίμοιο χρυσοῖο
 S 9.158 δημηθήτω — Αἰδῆς τοι ἀμείλιχος ἦδ' ἀδάμαστος*
 N 10.258 ταυρείην, ἀφαλόν* τε καὶ ἄλλοφον⁶⁾, ἣ τε καταῖτυξ
 N 13.37 ἀρρήκτους ἀλύτους, ὄφρ' ἔμπεδον αὐθι μένοιεν (θ' 275)
 N 13.360 ἄρρηκτόν τ' ἄλυτόν τε τὸ πολλῶν γούνατ' ἔλυσεν
 N 13.761 τοὺς δ' εἶδ' οὐκέτι πάμπαν ἀπήμονας οὐδ' ἀνολέθρους*
 N 15.697 φαίης κ' ἀκμηῆτας καὶ ἀτειρέας ἀλλήλοισιν
 S 17.41 ἀλλ' οὐ μὲν ἔτι δηρὸν ἀπειρήτος* πόνος ἔσται
 οὐδ' ἔτ' ἀδήριτος* ἦ τ' ἀλκῆς ἦ τε φόβοιο
 S 19.346 οἴχονται μετὰ δεῖπνον, ὁ δ' ἄκμηρος καὶ ἄπαστος*
 S 20.303 ὄφρα μὴ ἄσπερμος* γενεὴ καὶ ἄφαντος* ὄληται
 S 22.386 κεῖται παρ νήεσσι νέκυσ ἄκλαντος* ἄθαπτος*⁷⁾
 S 24.157 οὔτε γὰρ ἐσι' ἄφρων οὔτ' ἄσκοπος* οὔτ' ἀλιτήμων*

With the exception of “ageless/immortal”⁸⁾, none of these combinations is likely to have begun life at an early stage of the oral tradition. No traditional formula with an alpha-privative epithet⁹⁾ combines with another epithet to create a new formula. Rather, in almost half of the instances above, one of the epithets is generated from the immediate context¹⁰⁾

⁵⁾ Cf. Sophocles, *F* 4 Radt: ὡς ὢν ἄπαις τε κἀγύναϊξ κἀνέστιος.

⁶⁾ The collocation *τε καὶ* in this kind of combination is found only here in Homer: cf. *HVen* 123: ἄκληρόν τε καὶ ἄκτιον.

⁷⁾ Cf. Sophocles, *Ant* 29: ἐὰν δ' ἄκλαντον ἄταφον.

⁸⁾ Cf. *Od* 5.218; Hesiod, *Th* 277, 949; *F* 25.28: with ἦματα πάντα, *Od* 5.136; 7.94, 257; 23.336; *Th* 305; *F* 229.8 and (restored) *F* 23(a).12, 24. Pace M. L. West, “Greek Poetry 2000–700 B.C.”, *CQ* n.s. 23 (1973) 179–92 at 179, alpha-privative is a rare fore-element in juxtaposed compounds.

⁹⁾ E.g. ἄπαισι χεῖρες, ἀεικέα λοιγόν, ἀκάματον πῦρ, ἄχεα ἄκριτα.

¹⁰⁾ There is one example of the reverse process, in which the privative form is taken up by the positive form. Cp. Agamemnon's words at 9.125–6

1.99	ἀνάποιον* — ἄποινα
1.415	ἀδάκρυτος* — κατὰ δάκρυ χέουσα (413),
4.540	ἄβλητος* καὶ ἀνούτατος* — ἔβαλεν (524), οὔτα (525), βάλει (527)
5.342	ἀναίμονες — αἷμα (339)
6.60	ἀκήδεστοι — κήδεαι (55)
7.100	ἀκλεές — κλέος (90)
9.35	ἀνάγκιδα — ἀλκήν
9.41	ἀνάγκιδας — ἀλκήν (39)
9.159	ἀδάμαστος* — δημηθήτω (159)
13.37	ἀλύτους — λύσας (35)
13.360	ἄλυτον — ἔλυσεν (360) ¹¹⁾
13.761	ἀνολέθρους* — ὀλέσαντες (763) ¹²⁾

The second basis of the argument is thematic. The interpretation of ἀλιτήμων I have suggested arises from the immediate context, the first step in the process leading to Priam's supplication of Achilles. This is itself the culmination of the theme of supplication, a theme which has been developing with increasing urgency since the initial precipitating act of the *Iliad*, Agamemnon's rejection of Chryses' supplication¹³⁾. The sequence in Book 24 parallels that of Book 1¹⁴⁾, in which the entrance of Zeus into the story is caused by Thetis' supplication of him, on the instructions of Achilles. Zeus' words here are at once ironic and predictive: ironic, because Achilles has just refused the supplications of Priam's sons, Lycaon¹⁵⁾ and Hector¹⁶⁾; predictive, because Achilles will accept the supplication of Priam, although he considers the possibility that he may not¹⁷⁾.

with Achilles' reply to Odysseus (9.406–9):

ληιστοὶ μὲν γάρ τε βόες καὶ ἴφια μῆλα
κτητοὶ δὲ τρίποδες τε καὶ ἵππων ξανθὰ κάρηνα
ἀνδρὸς δὲ ψυχὴ πάλιν ἔλθειν οὔτε λειστή
οὔθ' ἔλετή, ἐπεὶ ἄρ' κεν ἀμείψεται ἔρκος ὀδόντων.

¹¹⁾ Ameis-Hentze *ad loc.* suggested word-play with ἔλυσεν.

¹²⁾ A chiasmic arrangement with lines 762–5: ἀπήμονας/ ἀνολέθρους// ψυχὰς ὀλέσαντες/ βεβλημένοι οὐτάμενοι τε.

¹³⁾ *λίσσετο*, 1.15. The rhetoric of supplication is especially prominent in Phoenix' speech in the *Embassy*: in his autobiography (9.451, 465), in the allegory of the *Λιταί* (501, 511), and in the paradeigmatic story of Meleager (574, 581, 583, 585, 591).

¹⁴⁾ (1) Achilles — Thetis — Zeus: (24) Zeus — Iris — Priam and Zeus — Thetis — Achilles.

¹⁵⁾ 21.99ff. ¹⁶⁾ 22.345ff.

¹⁷⁾ 24.570: καὶ ἰκέτην περ ἔόντα, Διὸς δ' ἀλίτωμαι (ἀλίτηται, 586) ἐφετμάς. The anonymous source of *EtMag* 65.2–5 used line 586 to support his etymology of ἀλιτῶ, τὸ λιτῆς καὶ ἰκεσίας στερεῖσθαι.